

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILD | NG DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|----------|---------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/658,849 09/10/2003 | | 10/2003 | Noriyuki Umezawa | 047373-0148 | 4207 |
| 22428 7590 04/19/2005 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| FOLEY AN SUITE 500 | D LARDN | VER | GRAINGER, QUANA MASHELL | | |
| 3000 K STRI | EET NW | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| WASHINGT | ON, DC 2 | 20007 | 2852 | | |

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| 5 | M | |
|---|---|--|
| | | |

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Author Commons | 10/658,849 | UMEZAWA ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Quana M. Grainger | 2852 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | _• | | | | | | |
| , | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | ce except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-10,14-21,27,28,30,32-35,37-40,42,4 | 3 and 45-54 is/are pending in the | e application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | • | • • | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,14-20,35-39 and 45-54</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) 21,27,30,32-34,40 and 42 is/are reject | ted. | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>28 and 43</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | , | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | • | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | | Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | • • | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau | | d in this National Stage | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | , ,, | d. | | | | | |
| 200 m2 amazina asiana amad asian isi a nat | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/658,849

Art Unit: 2852

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 4-16-2004 and 6-2-2004 was considered by the examiner.

Drawings

2. The content of the drawings are approved by the examiner

Title

3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 40 is objected to because it contains a typographical error in line 8. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 21, 34, and 40 provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 13-16 and 18 of copending Application No. 10/691,463.

Art Unit: 2852

This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: the toner bottle having a protrusion or rib that is sensed to determined the type of toner cartridge.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 40 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kato et al. The toner container bottle by Kato et al. comprising a spiral groove and a rib

Art Unit: 2852

formed at a second end portion opposite to a first end portion which has a toner discharge port (Figures 3A and 3B).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 11. Claims 21, 27, 30, and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato et al. in view of Takami et al. (6,421,139). Kato et al. teaches a toner bottle for use in an image forming apparatus comprising an elongated cylindrical body portion, at least one groove extending around an outer surface of the body portion; a first end portion at the body portion; a second end portion at a second end; a toner discharge port at the first end; a rib 31 formed at the second end that is sensed by a toner bottle sensor 32A (Figure 3A-1; 3A-2; 3B-1; 3B-2); and a holder guide 14. The groove is

Application/Control Number: 10/658,849

Art Unit: 2852

a spiral groove that extends without breaks from the second end portion and the first end portion

Takami et al. teaches a projection on a paper cassette that is sensed to discriminate the cassette type (column 4, lines 62-66; Figure 5; column 5, lines 4-47). The cassette is a consumable used within an image forming apparatus. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to use the teaching of Takami et al. with the image forming device of Kato to detect the type of consumable so that the image forming device performs without a lowering of throughput (Takami et al.; column 1, lines 60-65).

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 28 and 43 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 1-10, 14-20, 35, 37-39, and 45-54 are allowed.

Contact Information

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quana M. Grainger whose telephone number is 571-272-2135. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Arthur Grimley can be reached on 571-272-2136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2852

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Quana M Grainger Primary Examiner Art Unit 2852